



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,600	11/21/2003	Kai Mustonen	60279.00067	8208
32294	7590	06/01/2007	EXAMINER	
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. 14TH FLOOR 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182			PATEL, CHANDRAHAS B	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2616		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		06/01/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

SK

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/717,600	MUSTONEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Chandrahas Patel	2616

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 November 2003.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 22-42 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 November 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/21/2003</u> .	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: Fig. 1, reference numeral 17 is not described in drawings. Applicant refers to 6th block of suffix by reference numeral 16 (Page 7, lines 14-16) instead of numeral 17. Fig. 1, reference numerals 18 and 19 are not described in specification. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 22 and 33 are objected to because of the following informalities: Suffix is misspelled at line 3 of claim 22 and at line 4 of claim 33.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 22-25, 28-36, 39-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tiuri (USPN 6,829,230).

Regarding claim 22, Tiuri teaches a method for generating unique IP address from the geographical location data [Abstract], in which the IP address has a global prefix portion and a local suffix portion, wherein the geographical location information is coded in the suffix part of the address [Col. 6, lines 14-16, terminal identify specifies geographical information as stated in Col. 6, lines 10-14].

Regarding claims 23 and 34, Tiuri teaches the geographical location is a three dimensional coordinate [Abstract].

Regarding claims 24 and 35, Tiuri teaches the geographical location is a two dimensional coordinate [Abstract].

Regarding claims 25 and 36, Tiuri teaches the geographical location information includes additional information [Col. 4, lines 59-67].

Regarding claims 28 and 39, Tiuri teaches the address assigned to a mobile device consist of the device number and geographical location information of the router to which the mobile device is connected to [Col. 4, lines 27-44].

Regarding claim 29, Tiuri teaches the geographical location information is automatically detected [Col. 2, lines 29-32].

Regarding claim 30, Tiuri teaches geographical location information is manually entered [Col. 2, lines 16-18].

Regarding claim 31, Tiuri teaches the addressing of subnets of the network is based on the geographic location of the routers [Col. 6, lines 13-15, prefix of the address has subnet information].

Regarding claim 32, Tiuri teaches the address are used to improve the network performance by using the geographic location information directing the radio signal to destination when radios are used in physical layer [Col. 4, lines 59-67 – Col. 5, lines 1-9].

Regarding claim 33, Tiuri teaches a router for routing IP packets [Col. 4, lines 13-20] in which the unique address is based on geographical location information [Abstract] and has a global prefix portion and a local suffix portion, wherein the system harnesses the geographic location information coded to the suffice portion of the address in routing packets to the destination nodes located in the subnetwork [Col. 6, lines 14-16, terminal identify specifies geographical information as stated in Col. 6, lines 10-14].

Regarding claim 40, Tiuri teaches the router is arranged to query the geographical location information from the client attached to the network [Col. 2, lines 29-32].

Regarding claim 41, Tiuri teaches the router is arranged to assign the geographic location information and terminal device number to client attached to the network [Col. 4, lines 27-44].

Regarding claim 42, Tiuri teaches the router is arranged to utilize the geographic location information in directing the radio signal to destination when radios are used in physical layer [Col. 4, lines 57-67 – Col. 5, lines 1-9].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 26 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tiuri (USPN 6,829,230) in view of Dobbins et al. (USPN 6,249,820, Herein as Dobbins) and Bialk et al. (USPN 6,952,729, Herein as Bialk).

Regarding claims 26 and 37, Tiuri teaches the additional information is node specific information such as terminal number [Col. 4, lines 41-43], node name [Col. 6, lines 14-16].

However, Tiuri does not teach the additional information includes node layer information, street address, serial number, color or weight.

Dobbins teaches additional information includes node layer information [Col. 3, lines 24-29]. Bialk teaches additional information includes street address, serial number, color or weight [Col. 10, lines 51-55].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include node layer information to enhance security [Col. 3, lines 23-25] and include street address, serial number, color or weight so that number of customers can be determined from the address header [Col. 10, lines 56-58].

Art Unit: 2616

7. Claims 27 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tiuri (USPN 6,829,230) in view of Orsic (USPN 6,147,986).

Regarding claim 27, Tiuri teaches a method as discussed in rejection of claim 22.

However, Tiuri does not teach the address assigned to a mobile device is updated when the mobile device moves and the new address is informed to the register that controls the location of a mobile device.

Orsic teaches the address assigned to a mobile device is updated when the mobile device moves and the new address is informed to the register that controls the location of a mobile device [Col. 3, lines 26-35].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to update the address of a mobile device when its moves to different location so that mobile device can communicate when it goes to different location which cannot be served by serving router [Col. 3, lines 26-35].

Regarding claim 38, Tiuri teaches a method as discussed in rejection of claim 33.

However, Tiuri does not teach the router is arranged to update the address assigned to a mobile device when the mobile device moves.

Orsic teaches the router is arranged to update the address assigned to a mobile device when the mobile device moves [Col. 3, lines 26-34].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to update the address assigned to a mobile device when mobile device moves so that

the mobile device can be served when it goes into the area of router served by different router

[Col. 3, lines 26-34].

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandras Patel whose telephone number is 571-270-1211. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 7:30 to 17:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on 571-272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



CBP



RICKY Q. NGO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER